

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,709	02/03/2004	Jonathan P. Fournie	5150-83001	2284
Jeffrey C. Hood	7590 12/11/200 ⁻	7	EXAMINER	
Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin,			SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
Kowert & Goet P.O. Box 398	zel PC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 787	67		. 2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	m				
, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Community	10/770,709	FOURNIE, JONATHAN P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven P. Sax	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this comi				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	entember 2007					
_	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the m	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E			101110 10			
Disposition of Claims		0 0.0. 2.0.				
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,10 and 21-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-9, 11-20</u> is/are objected to.						
•	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
,,						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National St	age			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	i .				
	•					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application has been examined. The amendment filed 9/20/07 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 10, 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xavier et al (2003/0079207) and Takagi et al (6493001).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Xavier et al show executing a scene graph including executing a data flow diagram in response to input (Figure 7, para 50) wherein said executing comprises: displaying a first plurality of nodes on a display, wherein each of the plurality of nodes is executable to execute at least a portion of the scene graph (Figures 1, 4, 5, para 24, 27, 57); connecting the first plurality of nodes to create the data flow diagram, wherein the first plurality of nodes are connected to specify data flow among the plurality of nodes (Figures 4-6, para 28, 37, 40); and executing the data flow diagram, wherein said executing creates the scene graph (para 37, 40, 50) wherein the

scene graph specifies a plurality of objects and relationships between the objects, and wherein the scene graph is usable in rendering a graphical image of the plurality of objects (para 50, Figure 7). Xavier et al do not go into the details that the executing of the graphical program creates the scene graph per se, but do show efficiently accessing the program to render the scene graph. Furthermore, Takagi et al do show executing of the graphical program to create the scene graph, for efficiently accessing the program to render the scene graph (abstract, Figures 4, 9, 10, column 10 lines 20-50, column 11 lines 29-62, column 12 lines 1-25). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Xavier et al, because it would allow efficient accessing of the program to render the scene graph.

- 5. Regarding claim 2, note storing the scene graph in a memory medium after said executing (Xavier para 28, 37).
- 6. Regarding claim 3, the scene graph specifies a three dimensional (3D) scene (Xavier Figure 7, para 62).
- 7. Regarding claim 10, note receiving user input selecting a first plurality of nodes from a displayed second plurality of nodes (para 27, 27).
- 8. Regarding claim 21, the data flow diagram comprises a graphical program (Xavier para 24, 29, 32).

- 9. Regarding claim 22, the graphical program comprises a LabVIEW graphical program (Xavier para 24).
- 10. Regarding claim 23, the graphical program comprises a block diagram portion and a graphical user interface portion (Xavier Figures 4, 5, 7 para 21, 28, inherent in para 24).
- 11. Regarding claim 24, during execution of the data flow diagram, the graphical user interface is displayed on a display of a first computer system and the block diagram executes on a second computer system (inherent in LabView para 24).
- 12. Regarding claim 25, note the method for creating a data flow diagram which is executable to create a scene graph (Xavier Figure 7, para 50), the method comprising displaying a plurality of nodes on a display, wherein each of the plurality of nodes is selectable for inclusion in a data flow diagram (Xavier Figures 1, 4, 5, para 24, 27, 57); receiving first input selecting at least a subset of the plurality of nodes for inclusion in the data flow diagram and receiving second input connecting the plurality of nodes to create the data flow diagram, wherein the data flow diagram comprises the at least a subset of the plurality of nodes (Xavier Figures 4-6, para 28, 37, 40), and wherein the at least a subset of the plurality of nodes are executable to create at least a portion of a scene graph (Xavier para 37, 40, 50); wherein the scene graph specifies a plurality of

objects and relationships between the objects, and wherein the scene graph is usable in rendering a graphical image of the plurality of objects (Xavier para 50, Figure 7).

- 13. Regarding claim 26, note executing the data flow diagram to create the scene graph (Xavier para 37, 40, 50).
- 14. Regarding claim 27, note storing the scene graph after said executing (Xavier para 28, 37).
- 15. Claims 28-29 show the same features as claims 1, 25 respectively and are rejected for the same reasons.
- 16. Claims 4-9 and 11-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These bring out the scene graph details and second plurality of node details, which combined with the other features are not set forth in the prior art of record.
- 17. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. But note that the 101 rejection has been removed in view of the amendment, and that this Action in non final.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P. Sax whose telephone number is (571) 272-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.